

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,297	10/03/2000	HIROSHI KABURAGI	862.C2023	5739	
5514	7590 12/06/2004		. EXAM	INER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			PHAM, TH	PHAM, THIERRY L	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2624		

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/678,297	KABURAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thierry L Pham	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on amendment filed on 8/3/04.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/3/04</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/678,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 8/3/04.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Terashima et al (U.S. 6538762).

Regarding claim 1, Terashima discloses an image processing apparatus (host computer, fig. 3) having input means (input I/F for receiving plurality of different types of image data, fig. 8) for inputting, pixel by pixel, a multilevel image containing gray-scale information, and binarization means for binarizing the multilevel image, which has been input by the input means, to a binary image (host computer includes printer control circuit comprising color conversion/halftoning section for converting multilevel image into binary image, col. 7, lines 65-67), said apparatus comprising:

- communication means (a cable connecting from host computer to printer, fig. 3) for communicating with an external image output device via a network (fig. 3);
- characteristic-information storage means (printer control unit 5 includes memory device 41, fig. 5) for receiving characteristics information concerning dot reproducibility from (printer control unit 5 for receiving printer's parameters that control printing mechanism of the printer, i.e., print head characteristics, inkjet printer dot parameters, col. 2, lines 58-60 and col. 6, lines 16-38 and col. 9, lines 30-65) the external image output device (i.e. inkjet printer 9 for forming

Art Unit: 2624

dots using print-head nozzles, fig. 3) by said communication means and storing the characteristic information;

• connectivity control means (printer control unit 5, fig. 3) for controlling connectivity (printer control unit 5 of fig. 3 includes parameter analysis/command generation section 45 for controlling backend parameters such as horizontal and vertical resolutions, numbers of dots in one raster line of raster image, and etc., col. 6, lines 30-38, inherently, plurality of dots are interconnected (dot connectivity) to form an raster/binary image) of the binary image which is binarized by the binarization means, based upon the characteristic information stored by said characteristic-information parameter (i.e. parameters that control printing mechanism of the printers, col. 6, lines 16-38) storage means; and

• transmitting means (a cable connecting from host computer to printer, fig. 3) for transmitting the binary image in which the dot connectivity (i.e. numbers of dots are interconnected (connectivity) to form an raster/binary image) has been controlled by said connectivity control means, to the external image output device (printer, fig. 3) via said communication means.

Regarding claim 2, the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said characteristic-information storage means stores correlation (printer control unit can convert images through use of an optimum method according to parameters compliant to the type of printer, col. 2, lines 58-61) between a parameter which decides dot connectivity in a binary image binarized by said binarization means and the characteristic information of the image output device (i.e., characteristics of a print head of an ink-jet printer, col. 6, lines 15-39).

Regarding claims 3-5, please see rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 1-2 above.

Regarding claim 6, Terashima an image processing apparatus (host computer, fig. 3) having input means (input I/F, fig. 8) for inputting, pixel by pixel, a multilevel image containing gray-scale information, and binarization means (color conversion/halftoning section of fig. 5 for converting multilevel image into binary image, col. 7, lines 65-67) for binarizing the multilevel image, which has been input by the input means, to a binary image, said apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2624

• reception means (a cable connecting from host computer to printer, fig. 3) for receiving a parameter (i.e. parameters that control printing mechanism of the printers including dot parameters, col. 6, lines 16-38) with an external image output device via a network (fig. 3), said parameter controlling dot connectivity of a binary image (printer control unit of fig. 3 controls backend parameters such as horizontal and vertical resolutions, numbers of dots in one raster line of raster image, and etc., col. 6, lines 30-38, numbers of dots are interconnected to form a raster/binary image) binarized by the binarizatoin means;

- connectivity control means (printer control unit of fig. 3 controls backend parameters such as horizontal and vertical resolutions, numbers of dots in one raster line of raster image, and etc., col. 6, lines 30-38, numbers of dots are interconnected to form a raster/binary image) for controlling dot connectivity in the binary image when binarization is performed based upon a the parameter received of an output destination (i.e. parameters that control printing mechanism of the printers, col. 6, lines 16-38) obtained by said reception means; and
- transmitting means (a cable connecting from host computer to printer, fig. 3) for transmitting the binary image, in which the dot connectivity of which has been controlled by said connectivity control means, to the external image output device.

Regarding claims 9-10: Claims 9-10 are the method claims corresponding to the apparatus claim 1. The methods are inherent and included by the operation of the apparatus. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claim 1above.

Regarding claim 11: Claim 11 are the methods corresponding to the apparatus in claim 6. The methods are inherent and included by the operation of the apparatus. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claim 6 above.

Claims 14-15 correspond to claim 1 and 3 (respectively) except computer readable memory medium for storing program is claimed rather that printing system or data output apparatus. All computers have some type of computer readable memory medium (DRAM, fig. 7) for storing computer programs, hence claims 14-15 would be rejected using the same rationale as in claims 1 and 3 (respectively).

Application/Control Number: 09/678,297

Art Unit: 2624

Claim 16 corresponds to claim 6 except computer readable memory medium for storing program is claimed rather that printing system or data output apparatus. All computers have some type of computer readable memory medium (DRAM, fig. 7) for storing computer programs, hence claim 16 would be rejected using the same rationale as in claim 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7-8, 12-13, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terashima et al (U.S. 6538762), and in view of Wang (U.S. 5854882).
- Regarding claim 7, Terashim discloses an image processing apparatus host computer, fig. 3) having input means (input I/F, fig. 8) for inputting, pixel by pixel, a multilevel image containing gray-scale information, and binarization means (color conversion/halftoning section of fig. 5 for converting multilevel image into binary image, col. 7, lines 65-67) for binarizing the multilevel image, which has been input by the input means, to a binary image, said apparatus comprising:
- communication means (a cable connecting from host computer to printer, fig. 3) for communicating with an external image output device via a network;
- connectivity control means (printer control unit of fig. 3 controls backend parameters such as horizontal and vertical resolutions, numbers of dots in one raster line of raster image, and etc., col. 6, lines 30-38, inherently, plurality of dots are interconnected (dots connectivity) to form an raster/binary image) for controlling dot connectivity of a binary image, when binarization is performed;

• transmitting means (a cable connecting from host computer to printer, fig. 3) for transmitting the binary image, in which the dot connectivity of which has been controlled by the connectivity control means, to the external image output device via said communication means.

However, Terashima does not explicitly disclose an image processing apparatus comprising: a reading means for reading a test pattern; a parameter calculation means for calculating a parameter, in conformity with the test pattern read by said reading means;

Wang, in the same field of endeavor for image processing apparatus, teaches (1) a reading means (optical color measurement of test patterns, figs. 8 & 13) for reading a test pattern (printed color test patterns by color printer, figs. 8 & 13, col. 8, lines 35-42) (2) a parameter calculation means (dithering computing unit of fig. 13 for calculating a dot overlap information of binary image, col. 14, lines 16-52) for calculating a parameter in conformity with results obtained from said reading means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Terashima as per teachings of Wang because of a following reason: (1) to determine if the correct print quality (i.e. connectivity of dots and/or dots overlap) was printed by the printer via performing the test patterns.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Terashima with Wang to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

Regarding claim 8, Wang further teaches the apparatus according to claim 7, wherein the test pattern is for detecting dot connectivity is a test pattern that has been output by the external image output device (test patterns are printed by the digital color printer, fig. 13).

Regarding claims 12-13: Claims 12-13 are the methods corresponding to the apparatus in claim 7-8 (respectively). The methods are included by the operation of the apparatus. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claims 6-7 above.

Claims 17-18 correspond to claims 7-8 (respectively) except computer readable memory medium for storing program is claimed rather that printing system or data output apparatus. All computers have some type of computer readable memory medium (DRAM, fig. 7 of Terashima)

Application/Control Number: 09/678,297 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

for storing computer programs, hence claims 17-18 would be rejected using the same rationale as

in claims 7-8 (respectively).

Response to Arguments

• Objection to Title have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/3/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

• Regarding claims 1-18, the applicants argued the cited prior art does not teach "concerning dot

reproducibility of output device" and connectivity control means for controlling "dot

connectivity".

In Response, the examiner will note that Applicants are arguing subject matter not previously

claimed in claims 1-18. Nowhere in previously claims 1-18 that applicants recite the nature of

"concerning dot reproducibility" and "dot connectivity". However, Terashima explicitly teaches

a host computer includes a printer control unit 5 for controlling the output device (i.e. inkjet

printer 9). Printer control unit 5 comprising parameter analysis/command generation unit 45 for

detecting/analyzing parameters of output device (inkjet printer), and such parameters include

printer's engine characteristics, dots formation, and etc. Inherently, since printer 9 is an inkjet

printer, therefore, dot formations are performed using inkjet nozzles (col. 9, lines 30-45).

Plurality of dots are interconnected ("dot connectivity") to form one raster line (col. 6, lines 15-

38), and such formation is controlled using printer control unit 5 for controlling "dots

connectivity".

• In addition, Wang also teaches "dot connectivity" as shown in figs. 3-8.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,297

Art Unit: 2624

• U.S. 5696853 to Kawana, teaches a printer control unit for controlling an inkjet printers,

Page 8

wherein dots connectivity is performed.

• U.S. 6031627 to Kakutani, teaches a printer control unit for controlling an inkjet printer,

wherein dots connectivity is performed.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8.

examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham